

Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: <https://createstl.neocities.org/>
2. Author of site evaluated: Aaron Jones
3. Evaluator's first name: Aaron

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):
Macbook Pro 8 MB RAM
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)
Google Chrome
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):
School wifi

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The navigation is basic, does not offer a big table of contents.					
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The site uses basic colors through out so it does have any clutter to the colors.					
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
The navigation is easy to understand but at the same time does not offer much to it.					
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
Not all the pages link to the homepage directly.					
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
All the media objects were used very effectively as they were the main content of the site.					
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
Some images load slower than other but mostly all at a fast rate.					
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
No of the images contain that,					
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u>					
All of the text were simple to read as they stand out from the background.					

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					

1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Most of the pages have titles to them.

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

There were not many if any links in the website.

3. Links are up-to-date and functional. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

No links

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

All the content is at the top of the page.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Depends of the viewer of the website.

6. Information is accurate and current. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

All the images and info was up to date.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Depends on the viewer of the website.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CREDIBILITY					

1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.

1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

All the rights to who created the work was cited.

2. Contact person with e-mail address is included. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Each page had the author of the sites email at the bottom.

3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Doesn't offer a bias of any type.

4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

All material had the created of it labeled.

5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Not many words on the site but grammar was fine.

6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

Each page shows when it was last updated.